1879
(K.R.)

File No 12
Serial No 1-4

(R. 10)

Sub: Appointment of Ramappa, Manager of Kayanagutha village of Kachalcahally as Sh. Patel.

G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references.

1879.

~~191~~

(Land Revenue)

File No. 12

Serial Nos. 1-4

Later references.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1-4

Part B for March 1880.

SUBJECT.

Appointment of Danappa, manager of the Kanyamgutta village of Kachakchally, as its patel.

Indexed.
L. Sreenivasa Rao

W D 88—GPE—50,000—21-7-16

16-1-80

To

Secretary to Chief Commissioner

L.M

1879

1

April 1879-80 No 50 11

From The Dy. Commissioner

To Bangalore District

Secretary to Chief Commissioner,
Revenue ~~General~~ Department.

Dated 17th January 1880.

Despatched

Received 1/2 Camp, Bravebully

ENCLOSURES NO

~~General~~ No. 461 } 1879-80.
R No.

The Chief Commissioner's
Proceedings No. 207-1 dated
17th December 1879 regarding
Rules in the matter of
Succession to Patel Right
in alienated villages.

—a—

In addition to the
above and the Rules appro-
ved by the Chief
Commissioner vide Addl
Rule of the Inam Settlement
Village of Kempenahalli
in the Devanahalli Taluk
of the Bangalore District
having died in 1878-79
Government are pleased to

his claims to the office, and other pretended officers of
Strait Government are evidences that the
Party of the village had been heretofore in the
family but under the Eyes of Gov. Eyre and he
dared not give out. Eyre of his Friend and his
successors never knew the Sentiments of
the Chiefs of the Bata, for they appear to have devoted
themselves entirely in bringing the village to a
perfectious and prosperous condition. Bataphoty
evidence has however been adduced in proof of the
employment of any kind of independent views by this
Petty family at any time. The present Gratiade Dampier
who has been all throughout antagonistic to the
Strait family wished to keep the Office to himself
the late Strait has left two male children whose
care and protection have been entrusted to the Claimant
Governor Eyre.

Under these circumstances the undersigned
is of opinion that the Claims of Governor Eyre
are worthy of consideration.

Lt. Col.

Dy. Commissioner

WHL

R

1879 803

From

The Secretary to the Chief Commissioner
of Mysore, Duftar Department.

To The Dr Commr Bangalore

Dated

Despatched 21st January 79.

Received

Enclosures No.

Duftar General No. 3546

CP. No. 960

Ltr 1279

This docket No 46 of the 14th Instant, regarding the succession to the Patelship of the Kayungutta village of Kachenhalli in Bangalore taluk

Request information as to whether the last Patail received a formal appointment to the office, and if so from whom, to what extent the Kayungutta was consulted on the subject, whether the present Kayungutta is related in the village or

manage it by means of an Agent,
and I shall use his services in regard
to the appointment to the Patchship

By Order

Rev Secy

1879-80

From The Dy. Commissioner
Bangalore District

To

Secretary to Chief Commissioner,
Revenue ~~General~~ Department.

Dated 10th March 1880

Despatched

Received //

Enclosures No. 1

General No. 1
C. No. 584 } 1879-80.

Sir,

In reply to your docket
No 5546/960 dated 21st January
1880, I have the honor
to state that the Le
Road of the Sagengatta
Village of Kasturba halli
was formally appointed
to the Road by the undersigned
Commissioner of the Division
as it was considered ad-
-visable to appoint a
to the office a person

[illegible] was already with the Rajamundry Collector [illegible]
and the Chairman, as per accompanying Copy of the
Orders of the Commissioner, the Godavery Dist[rict]
and the Manager Mr Cotton, to be made in the [illegible]
and conduct of management, and it is the
joint wish that the above Rules be the Rules
of the village.

2. It may be stated that the Rajamundry Collector
is not consulted on the subject.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most Obedient Servant

[illegible]

To: The Commissioner
Rajamundry Division

7 1872- Endo 1113

From
The Superintendent
Army Arsenal Division

To
The D.y. Superintendent
Bangalore District

Dated 5th April 1872.

No. 47.

Your letter No. 1110 of the 27th February 1872, recommending under the circumstances represented, the appointment of one Dharedly to the office of Patil in the Rayengoota village of Kachankahally in the Bangalore Taluk, and the removal of the present incumbent.

In reply to the above, state that the appropriation of the Patailship by a man who is already both Rayengoottadar and Shanbogue

is quite inadmissible, and cannot
be allowed to stand for a moment.
The Sy. Superintendent can therefore
alone nominate the Petitioner
or some one else who is eligible and fit

/Signed/ J. L. Pearse
Superintendent

"Copy"

Dy. Commissioner
Bangalore District

N.T.

Read :-

Letter from the Commissioner of the Bangalore District, No. 554 dated 10th March 1880

Rd. 12/4

No. 1005 dated 17th March 1880

Entertain.

There is no objection to the appointment of Ramappa, the Curtidar and manager of the Kayenguttu village of Kaveripakkam, as said Patel, should the Kayenguttadars, who should be consulted on the subject, have no objection to offer -

Rev Secty

To the Commissioner Bangalore

No 5th Comm.
Bangalore

Dated 4th March
Regd. 20 ——— 1911
No. 23 No. 6770
Rs. 100/-

State that I do hereby appoint
to be my Agent and Manager
as Patel of the Nagenahalli Village
and Accountant, Under the
Mysore Village Act.